

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,258	02/24/2004	Mitsushige Murata	02910.000119	1242
5514 7590 12/21/2006 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/784,258	MURATA ET AL.				
omee mean cumury	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651				
Period for Reply	sours on the cover sheet with the c	on coponacino adarcos				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 1	<u>une 2004</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea 		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/2004.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant appears to be attempting to use means plus function (see at least lines 4,9,12 of claim 1, for example). Does the applicant intend to invoke 35 USC 112 6th paragraph? The examiner has construed this claim as to not invoking 35 USC 112 6th paragraph. See MPEP 2114 and 2181.

Regarding claim 1,11,13,20, the claims each recite a condition of operation by using the language "wherein, when the rear end of the sheet". A condition of operation does not further structurally limit the device.

Furthermore, each of the independent claims recite conveyance means. The wording of the recitation renders the claims unclear. Are the conveyance means supported by the support means? Or is the sheet or sheet bundle supported by the support means? Or both?

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asao USP 6,120,020.

Regarding claims 1-12, Asao discloses a sheet handling apparatus comprising:

- Sheet stack means (16)
- Support means (46)
- Change-over control means including detection means (52) (C5/L14-21)
- Conveyance means (18a)
- Handling means including a handling tray (12), aligning means (28), and staple
 means (60) (fig.2)
- A sheet returning member (49a) (fig.4)
- Image forming means (40) (fig.1)

Regarding claims 13-21,

- First support means (18a)
- Second support means (46)

Art Unit: 3651

Conveyance means (18b)

5. Claims 1,2,5-14,17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawano USP 5,741,009.

Regarding claims 1,2,5-12, Kawano discloses a sheet handling apparatus comprising:

- Sheet stack means (T1)
- Support means (414)
- Change-over control means including detection means (S4)
- Conveyance means (404)
- A sheet returning member (405) (fig.11)
- Image forming means (fig.1)

Regarding claims 13,14,17-21,

- First support means (413)
- Second support means (414) (fig.4)
- Conveyance means (414)
- 6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kato PGPub 2003/0214090.

Regarding claims 1-12, Kato discloses a sheet handling apparatus comprising:

- Sheet stack means (4)
- Support means (70)

Art Unit: 3651

Change-over control means including detection means (52) (C5/L14-21)

- Conveyance means (71)
- Handling means including a handling tray (40), aligning means (41,42), and staple means (10)
- A sheet returning member (4a)
- Image forming means (40) (fig.1)
 Regarding claims 13-21,
- First support means (50)
- Second support means (70)
- Conveyance means (71)

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie A. Nicholson III whose telephone number is 571-272-5487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

L.N. 11/15/2006

GENE OF HAVEORD
SUPERVISORY NATENT EXAMINER